UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,748	06/21/2006	Fabio Stradella	Q92693	1777
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/17/200 ON. PLLC	9	EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGO, LIEN M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,748	STRADELLA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LIEN TM NGO	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	·	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	lrawn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light specified.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/1/09 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of prior patent 7,275,660 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-43 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 10/564,315. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. Claims 1-23 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 10/542,507. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

5. Claims 1-23 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 11/587,442. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Art Unit: 3754

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 42, "the transmission element attached to a middle portion of the cantilever spring and the actuating member is attached to a distal end of the cantilever spring" is not supported in specification.

Art Unit: 3754

In claim 43, "a second spring disposed between the cantilever spring and the transmission element; wherein the cantilever spring and the second spring are configured such that the cantilever spring is displaced before the second spring" is not supported in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 15, 16, 19-21, 31-33, 36, 37 and 41 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klein (5,482,030). Klein discloses, in figs. 3 and 4, a dose indicator comprising a rotary counting means 12 having indication means 14; an actuator member 52 actuated by a transmission element 38 adapted to cooperate with a moving part 30 of a dispensing device; a cantilever spring 42 cooperate with the actuator member and the transmission element to move the actuator member greater than the transmission member to cause a rotary wheel 12 to rotate.
- 10. Claims 1, 15, 16, 19-21, 31-33, 36-38, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horlin (WO 01/37909). Horlin discloses, in figs. 1, 3, 4 and 7, a dose indicator comprising a rotary counting means 24 having indication means 21; a disk member 9 comprising an actuator member 30 actuated by a transmission element 32 adapted to cooperate with a moving part of a dispensing device; a cantilever spring 33 cooperate with the actuator member and the transmission

Art Unit: 3754

element to move the actuator member greater than the transmission member to cause a rotary wheel 24 to rotate.

11. Claims 1, 15, 16, 19-21, 31-33, 36-38, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Quyang et al. (7,407,066).Quyang et al. disclose, in figs. 1 and 2, a dose indicator comprising a rotary counting means 40 having indication means 45; a disk member 41, 42 comprising an actuator member 50 actuated by a transmission element 42 adapted to cooperate with a moving part of a dispensing device; a cantilever spring 51, 52 cooperate with the actuator member and the transmission element to move the actuator member greater than the transmission member to cause a rotary wheel 40 to rotate.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LIEN TM NGO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

September 14, 2009